arXiv:1612.09236v1 [math.AP] 29 Dec 2016

AN INFINITE SEQUENCE OF CONSERVED QUANTITIES FOR THE CUBIC GROSS-PITAEVSKII HIERARCHY ON $\mathbb{R}$

DANA MENDELSON[1], ANDREA R. NAHMOD[2], NATAŠA PAVLOVIĆ[3], AND GIGLIOLA STAFFILANI[4]

Abstract. We consider the (de)focusing cubic Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) hierarchy on $\mathbb{R}$, which is an infinite hierarchy of coupled linear non-homogeneous PDE which appears in the derivation of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation from quantum many-particle systems. Motivated by the fact that the cubic NLS on $\mathbb{R}$ is an integrable equation which admits infinitely many conserved quantities, we exhibit an infinite sequence of operators which generate analogous conserved quantities for the GP hierarchy.

1. Introduction

In recent years, major advances have been made towards understanding the macroscopic properties of quantum mechanical systems with a very large number of particles[5], modelled by equations such as (2.1) below. Mathematically, one considers an appropriate thermodynamic limit, for example the limit of an N-particle system as $N \to \infty$, and derives a governing equation for the limiting system, which is then expected to be a good approximation for the macroscopic properties observed in experiments where the number of particles N is very large, but finite, see for instance [51] for a nice survey.

The subject of our work is the one-dimensional cubic Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) hierarchy (1.1)-(1.2), which as we will recall in §2 is derived in a suitable thermodynamic limit from a system of interacting bosons. As we will recall in §2, the dynamics of the GP hierarchy (1.1)-(1.2) are closely connected to those of the one-dimensions cubic nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation (1.5), see for instance [9]. Nonetheless, although it is well-known that the cubic NLS is an example of an integrable equation, questions about an integrable structure for the complex dynamics of the GP hierarchy itself have yet to be explored. Our goal in this work is to begin this investigation and in our main Theorem 4.2, we obtain some answers to these questions. In particular, we exhibit infinitely many conservation laws for the cubic GP hierarchy in 1D, which we believe is the first step towards the understanding of a possible integrable structure at the level of the GP hierarchy. Moreover, should such a structure be available, it might eventually help identify an integrable structure at the the level of the N-particle system from which that of the cubic NLS can be derived in a suitable limit.

The cubic GP hierarchy in one spatial dimension governs the evolution of a sequence of functions $\gamma^{(k)}(t, \underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k)$, with $t \geq 0$, $\underline{x}_k = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $\underline{x}'_k = (x'_1, \ldots, x'_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, arising from a quantum many-particle systems as the number of particles goes to infinity. The GP hierarchy is given by:

[1] D.M. is funded in part by NSF DMS-1128155.
[2] A.N. is funded in part by NSF DMS-1201443 and DMS-1463714.
[3] N.P. is funded in part by NSF DMS-1516228.
[4] G.S. is funded in part by NSF DMS-1362509 and DMS-1462401.
[5]Between $N \sim 10^3$ for very dilute Bose-Einstein samples, up to values of the order $N \sim 10^{30}$ in stars.

$$i\partial_t \gamma^{(k)} = -\sum_{j=1}^{k} (\Delta_{x_j} - \Delta_{x'_j})\gamma^{(k)} + 2\kappa B_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.1}$$

with $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}$ and

$$B_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} B^{+}_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} B^{-}_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(B^{+}_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\right)(t, x_1, \ldots, x_k; x'_1, \ldots, x'_k) \\ &\quad = \int dx_{k+1} dx'_{k+1} \delta(x_{k+1} - x'_{k+1}) \delta(x_j - x_{k+1}) \gamma^{(k+1)}(t, x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1}; x'_1, \ldots, x'_{k+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

and similarly for $B^{-}_{j;k+1}$ with $\delta(x_j - x_{k+1})$ replaced by $\delta(x'_j - x_{k+1})$. The case $\kappa = +1$ corresponds to the focusing hierarchy (which is related to the focusing NLS) while $\kappa = -1$ corresponds to the defocusing hierarchy. In the sequel, we will be working solely with the B^{+} operators and not the B^{-} operators, so we will abuse notation slightly and denote

$$B_{j;k} := B^{+}_{j;k}.$$

This hierarchy consists of an infinite sequence of coupled linear equations which arise in the so called Gross-Pitaevskii limit from systems of bosons interacting with two-body potentials, see §2 for more details on this connection. The GP hierarchy admits a special class of factorized solutions, given by

$$\left(\gamma^{(k)}(t, \underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k)\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|^{\otimes k}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \phi(x_i)\overline{\phi}(x'_i)\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \tag{1.4}$$

for functions ϕ which solve the cubic NLS on $\mathbb{R}$:

$$i\phi_t + \partial_{xx}\phi = 2\kappa|\phi|^2\phi \tag{1.5}$$

for $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}$. The one-dimensional cubic NLS has been extensively studied both for its role as a dispersive equation and as an example of an integrable model. This model can be solved exactly by the method of inverse scattering by Zakharov-Shabat [57, 56], and also [1]. There are many consequences of integrability for an equation, but perhaps one of the most well-known is the existence of a sequence of infinitely many integrals of motion. Inspired by the construction of these integrals of motion for the cubic NLS, particularly the works on inverse scattering by Zakharov-Shabat, we address the question of existence of infinitely many operators which generate conserved quantities for smooth solutions of the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy. As mentioned above, we believe that this may provide a first step towards understanding a possible integrable structure for the GP hierarchy, and perhaps, eventually, understanding a physical derivation of the integrable structure for the cubic NLS from many body quantum systems.

We also view this work from the perspective of the program of passing results from nonlinear PDE, such as the nonlinear Schrödinger, to the infinite particle system given by the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy. The quantum de Finetti theorem has proven to be a crucial tool for this type of analysis (see §2.3 for precise statements of this theorem). This approach has, for example, proven effective in establishing a new proof of uniqueness [9] and the first scattering result [8] for the GP hierarchy.

Before proceeding with an outline of our main results, we briefly recall the conserved quantities for (1.5). We follow the presentation in Faddeev-Takhtajan [27] which is based on the inverse scattering method of Zakharov-Shabat [56]. We begin with the following definition of the sequence of functions which will be used to construct the conserved integrals for the NLS.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ be a solution to the cubic NLS* (1.5)*. For a non-negative integer n we define the functions w_{n+1} by:*

$$w_1(x) = \phi(x) \tag{1.6}$$

$$w_{n+1}(x) = -i\partial_x w_n(x) + \kappa\overline{\phi}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} w_k(x) w_{n-k}(x). \tag{1.7}$$

We record the first five w_n:

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= -i\partial_x\phi \\ w_3 &= -\partial_x^2\phi + \kappa|\phi|^2\phi \\ w_4 &= i\partial_x^3\phi - i\kappa\overline{\phi}\left(\phi\partial_x\overline{\phi} + 4\overline{\phi}\partial_x\phi\right) \\ w_5 &= \partial_x^4\phi - \kappa\phi(\partial_x\overline{\phi})^2 - \kappa\overline{\phi}(\partial_x\phi)^2 - 9\kappa\overline{\phi}|\partial_x\phi|^2 - \kappa|\phi|^2\partial_x^2\overline{\phi} \\ &\qquad - 4\kappa\bar{\phi}^2\partial_x^2\phi - 2\kappa|\phi|^2\partial_x^2\phi + 2\kappa^2|\phi|^4\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

The conserved integrals for the NLS (1.5) can then be expressed as in the following proposition, (see e.g. [27]).

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ be a solution to the cubic NLS* (1.5)*. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the integral*

$$I_n(\phi) := \int w_n(x)\overline{\phi}(x)\,dx \tag{1.9}$$

is conserved in time.

We also recall the following fact about these conserved integrals, that we also call *energies*, for the NLS.

Lemma 1.3. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and ϕ belonging to the Sobolev space $H^{(n-1)/2}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$I_n(\phi) = \int w_n(x)\overline{\phi}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\int |\partial_x^{(n-1)/2}\phi|^2 + p_n(\phi, \overline{\phi}, \partial_x\phi, \partial_x\overline{\phi}, \dots, \partial_x^{(n-2)/2}\phi, \partial_x^{(n-2)/2}\overline{\phi})$$

where p_n are polynomials of degree $n+1$ and

$$\left|\int p_n(\phi, \overline{\phi}, \dots, \partial_x^{(n-2)/2}\phi, \partial_x^{(n-2)/2}\overline{\phi})\right| \leq C(n)\left(\int |\partial_x^{(n-1)/2}\phi|^2 + \int |\phi|^2\right).$$

We record explicitly the first three non-zero energies

$$I_1(\phi) = \int |\phi|^2 = M(\phi) \tag{1.10}$$

$$I_3(\phi) = \int |\partial_x\phi|^2 + \kappa\int |\phi|^4 = H(\phi) \tag{1.11}$$

$$I_5(\phi) = \int \left(|\partial_{xx}\phi|^2 + \kappa(\partial_x(|\phi|^2))^2 + 6\kappa|\phi\,\partial_x\phi|^2 + 2\kappa^2|\phi|^6\right). \tag{1.12}$$

In analogy to the functions $\{w_{n+1}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, which are defined recursively in Definition 1.1, we will introduce the operators $\{\mathbf{W}^j_{n+1}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (for certain $j\in\mathbb{N}$) in §4. We will proceed by first defining these operators on factorized solutions of the GP hierarchy via a recursive definition inspired by (1.6) - (1.7). Subsequently we will extend the definition to general solutions to the GP hierarchy using a recent uniqueness result of Hong-Taliaferro-Xie [42] for the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy based on quantum de Finetti theorems (see Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3), and some functional analytical tools on Bochner integrals. We record explicitly the first few operators $\mathbf{W}^j_n$ to illustrate their form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W}^j_1 &= I_j\\
\mathbf{W}^j_2 &= -i\partial_{x_j}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+1}\\
\mathbf{W}^j_3 &= -\partial^2_{x_j}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+1j+2} + \kappa B_{j,j+1}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+2}\\
\mathbf{W}^j_4 &= i\partial^3_{x_j}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+1,j+2,j+3} - i\kappa(B_{j,j+1}\partial_{x_{j+1}}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+2} + B_{j,j+2}\partial_{x_j}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+1})\mathrm{Tr}_{j+3}\\
\mathbf{W}^j_5 &= \partial^4_{x_j}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+2,j+3,j+4,j+5} + \kappa\Big[B_{j;j+1}(-\partial^2_{x_{j+1}}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+2,j+3} + \kappa B_{j+1,j+2}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+3})\\
&\qquad - B_{j;j+2}\partial_{x_j}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+1}\partial_{x_{j+2}}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+3} + B_{j;j+3}(-\partial^2_{x_j}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+1,j+2} + \kappa B_{j,j+1}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+2})\Big]\mathrm{Tr}_{j+4}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\mathbf{W}^j_3$ will correspond to the Hamiltonian (1.11) of the cubic NLS for factorized solutions, that is for $\gamma^{(3)}(\underline{x}_3;\underline{x}'_3) = \prod_{i=1}^3\phi(x_i)\overline{\phi}(x'_i)$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^1_3\gamma^{(3)}) = I_3(\phi).$$

We also note that $\mathbf{W}^j_3$ is the operator associated to the conserved energy identified by Chen-Pavlović-Tzirakis [14]. The operator $\mathbf{W}^j_5$ corresponds to the second energy, that is, for a factorized solution $\gamma^{(5)}(\underline{x}_5;\underline{x}'_5) = \prod_{i=1}^5\phi(x_i)\overline{\phi}(x'_i)$ one has

$$\mathbf{W}^1_5\gamma^{(5)} = w_5(x_1)\overline{\phi}(x'_1) \tag{1.13}$$

for w_5 as in (1.8). In particular, due to the complexity of the expressions involved, we point out that simply knowing the expression for the conservation laws for the equation (1.5) is insufficient for determining the form of the operators $\mathbf{W}^j_n$. Rather, a recursive formulation of the operators plays a crucial role in our proof. As a consequence of (1.13), we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\mathbf{W}^1_5\gamma^{(5)} = I_5(\phi)$$

by definition of the trace and Proposition 1.2. In §5 we will prove that such a relation holds for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $n\geq 1$.

As we will see in the following sections, the operators $\mathbf{W}^j_{n+1}$ that we will define have the form

$$\mathbf{W}^j_{n+1} = (-i\partial_{x_j})^n + \textit{lower order terms},$$

where the lower order terms are a degree $n-1$ polynomial in $\partial_{x_j}, i = 1,\dots,j+n-1$ and the collision operators $B_{j;k+1}$ in (1.3). This is analogous to Lemma 1.3 above. In particular, we will prove that these lower order terms are bounded linear operators from an appropriate Sobolev type space into an L^2 type space. We now record a paraphrased statement of our main result, Theorem 4.2, without providing details for the moment:

Summary of Main Result. *Let $(\gamma^{(k)}(t))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sufficiently regular solution of the (de)focusing cubic GP hierarchy* (1.1). *Then for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $1\leq j\leq n$ such that $k\geq j+n-1$ there exists an*

operator $\boldsymbol{W}_n^j$ *such that the quantity*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^j \gamma^{(k)}(t)$$

is conserved in time, and furthermore

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^j \gamma^{(k)}(t) = \operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^j \gamma^{(k)}(0).$$

Remark 1.1. *Our main result recovers the energy defined by Chen-Pavlović-Tzirakis* [14]*, which corresponds to* W_3^j *in the above notation. Moreover, the operators* $\boldsymbol{W}_n^j$ *enable us to recover the higher order energies defined by Chen-Pavlović in* [13]*, as well as new higher order energies, see Remark 4.2 and Proposition 6.2 for more details.*

We will also collect some properties of the aforementioned operators $\mathbf{W}_n^j$, which are well-known to hold for the function $w_n(x)$. This result is, to the best of our knowledge, the first time an infinite sequence of independent conserved quantities for the cubic GP hierarchy has been produced, and the first time these operators $\mathbf{W}_n^j$ have been defined for the GP hierarchy. As is the case with the integrable structure for the cubic NLS, our main result applies to both focusing and defocusing hierarchies. We will see in §6, these conserved quantities will be given by averages of conserved quantities for the cubic NLS with respect to the so-called de Finetti measure of the initial data, which we define in (2.9). This should be compared to the scattering result of [8] which states that the scattering states for the GP hierarchy are given by an appropriate average over the scattering states for the solutions to the NLS with respect to the de Finetti measure.

Remark 1.2. *Although our result does not provide an integrable structure for the GP hierarchy, it does provide a link between the algebraic properties of the one-dimensional cubic NLS and an infinite particle system derived from many body quantum statistical mechanics. There still remains the interesting open question of determining an integrable structure at the level of the GP hierarchy.*

Organization of paper. In §2 we briefly recall the derivation of the GP hierarchy from a many-body quantum system. In §3 we define the $\mathbf{W}_n$ operators described above. With the definition of the $\mathbf{W}_n$ operators in hand, we give the precise statement of our results in §4. In §5 we prove the main results for factorized solutions and in §6 we prove the results for general solutions of the GP hierarchy.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank the MSRI and the IHES for the kind hospitality that allowed us to develop this project. They would like to express their thanks to Patrick Gérard for helpful discussions. D.M. gratefully acknowledges support from the Institute for Advanced study at Princeton.

2. On the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy

In this section we give a brief overview of how the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy arises from a quantum many-particle system, and we review previous works on the hierarchy that are relevant for this paper, including recent versions of uniqueness results for solutions to the hierarchy, which are based on quantum de Finetti theorems.

2.1. From quantum many-particle systems to the NLS, via the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy.

We briefly describe how the cubic defocusing Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy in $\mathbb{R}^d$ arises in the process of a derivation of the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^d$ from a quantum many-particle system.

Inspired by the pioneering works of Spohn [53], Ginibre-Velo [31, 32] and Hepp [38], recently remarkable progress has been achieved in the rigorous derivation of the nonlinear Hartree equation

as a mean field limit, and of NLS as a Gross-Pitaevskii limit of interacting Bose gases, see [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 46, 45, 50, 36, 35], and also [2, 3, 6, 7, 29, 28, 30, 37, 34, 16, 48, 49].

Now we give a brief overview of the strategy employed in fundamental works of Erdös-Schlein-Yau [22] - [25] on the derivation of the cubic NLS. In what follows we keep the dimension d general for the parts of the program that are not dimension-specific, while we note that the works of Erdös-Schlein-Yau [22] - [25] treated the case $d = 3$. The derivation of the cubic NLS with $d = 1$ was completed earlier in [2, 3].

Step 1: N-particle system. The first step in such a derivation is to consider a system of N bosons in $\mathbb{R}^d$ described by the wave function $\psi_N \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$, where $L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ is the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ consisting of permutation symmetric functions. The dynamics of the given N-particle system is described by the linear Schrödinger evolution

$$i\partial_t \psi_N(t, x_1, \dots, x_N) = H_N \psi_N(t, x_1, \dots, x_N)\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where the Hamiltonian H_N given by

$$H_N = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (-\partial^2_{x_j}) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} V_N(x_i - x_j) \tag{2.2}$$

is a self-adjoint operator acting on the Hilbert space $L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$. The interaction potential has the form $V_N(x) = N^{d\beta} V(N^\beta x)$, with V being spherically symmetric and in the Sobolev space $W^{r,s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some suitable r, s. Here $\beta \in (0, 1]$. When $\beta = 1$, the Hamiltonian (2.2) is called the Gross-Pitaevskii Hamiltonian.

Since the Schrödinger equation (2.1) is linear and the Hamiltonian H_N is self-adjoint, global well-posedness of (2.1) is not an issue. But one would like to understand qualitative and quantitative properties of the solution, which are hard to extract in physically relevant cases when the number of particles N is very large. However physicists often want to identify the macroscopic behavior of the system as $N \to \infty$, with a hope that the limit will approximate properties observed in the experiments for a large, but finite N.

Step 2: From the finite-particle system to the system of infinitely many-particles. To study the limit of the dynamics of (2.1) in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$, one introduces the N-particle density matrix

$$\gamma_N(t; \underline{x}_N; \underline{x}'_N) \;=\; \overline{\psi_N(t, \underline{x}_N)} \psi_N(t, \underline{x}'_N)$$

where $\underline{x}_k = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, $\underline{x}_{N-k} = (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N)$.

We consider the associated k-particle marginal density matrices

$$\gamma^{(k)}_N(t; \underline{x}_k; \underline{x}'_k) \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}_{k+1, \cdots, N}(\gamma_N) \tag{2.3}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, N$. Here $\mathrm{Tr}_{k+1, \cdots, N}$ denotes the partial trace in the $(k+1)$-st up to the N-th coordinate and is defined via:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{k+1, \cdots, N}(\gamma_N) &:= \int d\underline{x}_{N-k}\, \delta(x_{k+1} - x'_{k+1}) \dots \delta(x_N - x'_N)\, \gamma_N(t; \underline{x}_N; \underline{x}'_N), \\ &= \int d\underline{x}_{N-k}\, \gamma_N(t; \underline{x}_k, \underline{x}_{N-k}; \underline{x}'_k, \underline{x}_{N-k}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

This restriction to k-particle marginal density matrices is crucial for the analysis of the system as $N \to \infty$, since for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{\gamma^{(k)}_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ belongs to a suitable space where one is able to take a well-defined limit. We now turn to some details.

Given wave functions ψ_N governed by (2.1), the time evolution of the corresponding k-particle marginal density matrices (2.3) is governed by so-called BBGKY hierarchy,

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \gamma_N^{(k)}(t,\underline{x}_k,\underline{x}'_k) = &-\sum_{i=1}^k (\Delta_{x_i}-\Delta_{x_j})\gamma_N(t,\underline{x}_N,\underline{x}'_N) \\ &\frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\le i<j\le k}[V_N(x_i-x_j)-V_N(x'_i-x'_j)]\gamma_N^{(k)}(t,\underline{x}_k,\underline{x}'_k) \\ &+\frac{N-k}{N}\sum_{i=1}^k\int d_{x_{k+1}}[V_N(x_i-x_{k+1})-V_N(x'_i-x_{k+1})]\gamma_N^{(k+1)}(t,\underline{x}_{k+1},\underline{x}'_{k+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

which can be obtained from (2.1) and (2.3). In a suitable topology on the space of marginal density matrices satisfying the BBGKY hierarchy, one takes the limit as $N\to\infty$, to extract convergent subsequences $\gamma_N^{(k)}\to\gamma^{(k)}$ for $k\in\mathbb{N}$, which satisfy the GP hierarchy (1.1), which we recall here:

$$i\partial_t\gamma^{(k)} = -\sum_{j=1}^k(\Delta_{x_j}-\Delta_{x'_j})\gamma^{(k)} \,+\, b_0 B_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\,,\quad k\in\mathbb{N}\,,$$

where $b_0=\int V(x)dx$. In our case, we will take $b_0=2\kappa$ for κ as in (1.5).

Step 3: Uniqueness of solutions to the GP hierarchy. As mentioned in the introduction, the crucial connection between the GP hierarchy and the NLS lies in the observation that the factorized k-particle marginals

$$\gamma^{(k)}(t,\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}'_k) \,=\, \big|\,\phi(t,x_j)\,\big\rangle\big\langle\,\phi(t,x'_j)\,\big|^{\otimes k} \,:=\, \prod_{j=1}^k \phi(t,x_j)\overline{\phi(t,x'_j)}$$

are solutions of the GP hierarchy (1.1) if $\phi(t,x)$ solves the defocusing[1] cubic NLS. The final and the most involved step in this derivation program is to establish the uniqueness of solutions for the GP hierarchy, which implies that for factorized initial data, the solutions of the GP are factorized with individual factors satisfying the NLS. This step was first obtained by Erdös-Schlein-Yau [22, 23, 24, 25] for $d=3$ in the space $\{\gamma^{(k)}\,|\,\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^1_k}<\infty\}$, where

$$\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha_k} \,:=\, \mathrm{Tr}(|S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}|)\,, \tag{2.6}$$

with

$$S^{(k,\alpha)} := \prod_{j=1}^k (1-\Delta_{x_j})^{\alpha/2}(1-\Delta_{x'_j})^{\alpha/2} \tag{2.7}$$

for $\alpha>0$.

Proving uniqueness is a crucial, and very involved part of Erdös-Schlein-Yau's approach for deriving the cubic defocusing NLS in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is based on a powerful combinatorial method that resolves the issues that stem from the factorial growth of the number of terms in the iterated Duhamel expansions.

Subsequently, Klainerman-Machedon [46] gave a much shorter proof of the uniqueness of solutions to the GP hierarchy in $\mathbb{R}^3$ in the space of density matrices for which the Hilbert-Schmidt type Sobolev norms are finite $\{\gamma^{(k)}\,|\,\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\dot{H}^1}<\infty\}$, where

[1]The first derivation of the focusing NLS was obtained recently in [19, 18].

$$\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha} := \|R^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}\|_{L^2}$$

with

$$R^{(k,\alpha)} := \prod_{j=1}^{k} |\partial_{x_j}|^\alpha |\partial_{x'_j}|^\alpha .$$

However the result is conditional on the assumption that

$$\|B_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{L^2_t \dot{H}^1} < C^k, \tag{2.8}$$

holds for some finite constant C independent of k. Their approach uses techniques from the analysis of dispersive nonlinear PDE, together with the combinatorial method of [22, 23, 24, 25]. Starting with the work [45] for the cubic GP hierarchy on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{T}^2$, the approach of Klainerman-Machedon was used by various authors for the derivation of the NLS from interacting Bose gases [10, 12, 33, 17, 20, 15, 55].

2.2. **Going backwards: from NLS to quantum many-particle systems via the GP hierarchy.** The study of the Cauchy problem for the GP hierarchy was initiated by Chen-Pavlovic in [11] who realized the GP hierarchy as a coupled system of linear non-homogeneous PDE describing the dynamics of a gas of infinitely many interacting particles but preserving some of the properties of a nonlinear dispersive PDE. Their motivation stemmed from the idea, already present in [46], that methods and techniques used in the context of nonlinear PDEs could be successfully transferred at the level of the GP. A particular example is that of the well-posedness theory for the NLS equation which inspired analogous techniques to be developed at the level of the GP hierarchy to obtain, for example, global well-posedness results [13] as well as sufficient conditions for finite-time blow-up [14] for the GP.

The long term goal of such a program however, is to bring some of these methods and results to quantum many-particle systems. Indeed, the techniques that were developed for the well-posedness theory of the GP were in turn successfully adapted in [10] to the quantum many-particle systems, to show that there are solutions to the cubic GP hierarchy, constructed from solutions to the BBGKY hierarchy (2.5), that satisfy the Klainerman-Machedon condition (2.8) in dimension $d = 3$. In [20] this line of work was further developed by adapting the ubiquitous $X^{s,b}$ spaces to the study of quantum many-particle systems.

Recently, a new methodology has entered the stage, based on a quantum de Finetti theorem which allows, in some instances, a more direct transfer of the techniques from the nonlinear Schrödinger equation to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy. We describe some of these ideas below in our context.

2.3. **The quantum De Finetti theorem and uniqueness of solutions to the GP hierarchy.** In the proof of our main result Theorem 4.2 we use the version of uniqueness of solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy, which has been recently established in [42] via employing a quantum de Finetti theorem, which is a quantum analogue of the Hewitt-Savage theorem in probability theory, [40]. Before we state the uniqueness result, we recall the strong version of the de Finetti's theorem, due to Hudson-Moody [44] and Stormer [54], and stated in the context of C^*-algebras. We quote this theorem using the formulation presented by Lewin-Nam-Rougerie in [47], which pioneered recent applications of the theorem in the context of questions related to Bose-Einstein condensation and derivation of nonlinear dispersive PDE from quantum many body systems.

We start by recalling the definition of admissibility:

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{H}^k = \bigotimes_{sym}^k \mathcal{H}$ the corresponding bosonic k-particle space. We say that*

$$\Gamma = (\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \dots)$$

is a sequence of admissible bosonic density matrices on $\mathcal{H}$ if $\gamma^{(k)}$ is a non-negative trace class operator on $\mathcal{H}^k$ such that

$$\gamma^{(k)} = \mathrm{Tr}_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *(Strong Quantum de Finetti Theorem) Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{H}^k = \bigotimes_{sym}^k \mathcal{H}$ the corresponding bosonic k-particle space. If Γ is a sequence of admissible bosonic density matrices on $\mathcal{H}$, then there exists a unique Borel probability measure μ, supported on the unit sphere $S \subset \mathcal{H}$, and invariant under multiplication of $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ by complex numbers of modulus one, such that*

$$\gamma^{(k)} = \int d\mu(\phi)(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes k}\,, \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{2.9}$$

The solutions to the GP hierarchy (1.1) obtained via weak-* limits from solutions of the BBGKY hierarchy (2.5) as in [22]-[25] do not necessarily satisfy admissibility. However a weak version of the quantum de Finetti theorem applies in those cases. Here we give the statement of the version of a weak quantum de Finetti theorem that was recently proved by Lewin-Nam-Rougerie [47]. We note that an equivalent result was established also by Ammari-Nier in [5, 4].

Theorem 2.3. *(Weak Quantum de Finetti Theorem)* [47, 5, 4]. *Assume that $\gamma_N^{(N)}$ is an arbitrary sequence of mixed states on $\mathcal{H}^N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying $\gamma_N^{(N)} \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}^N}(\gamma_N^{(N)}) = 1$, and assume that its k-particle marginals have weak-* limits*

$$\gamma_N^{(k)} := \mathrm{Tr}_{k+1,\cdots,N}(\gamma_N^{(N)}) \;\rightharpoonup^*\; \gamma^{(k)} \quad (N \to \infty)\,, \tag{2.10}$$

in the trace class on $\mathcal{H}^k$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then, there exists a unique Borel probability measure μ on the unit ball in $\mathcal{H}$, and invariant under multiplication of $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ by complex numbers of modulus one, such that

$$\gamma^{(k)} = \int d\mu(\phi)(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes k}\,, \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Motivated by the de Finetti theorems, which imply that a suitable member of an infinite hierarchy is on average factorized, Chen-Hainzl-Pavlović-Seiringer [9] obtained a new proof of the unconditional uniqueness of mild solutions to the cubic GP hierarchy in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which loosely speaking implies that such solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy are given as an average of factorized solutions, where each factor is a solution to a cubic NLS. Following [9], de Finetti theorems were successfully used to address unconditional uniqueness of certain GP hierarchies, see e.g. [52, 42, 43, 21, 39]. In particular, in the work at hand we use the unconditional uniqueness result for solutions to the cubic GP hierarchy in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$, obtained recently in [42]. Before we state the unconditional uniqueness result of [42], we recall the definition of the space $\mathfrak{H}^\alpha$ of sequences $(\gamma^{(k)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and the definition of a *mild solution* to (1.1) in the space $L^\infty_{t\in[0,T]}\mathfrak{H}^\alpha$, as used in [9] and [42].

Definition 2.4. *For $\alpha > 0$, the space $\mathfrak{H}^\alpha$ of sequences $(\gamma^{(k)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is given by*

$$\mathfrak{H}^\alpha := \Big\{ (\gamma^{(k)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \,\Big|\, \|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha_k} < R^{2k} \text{ for some constant } R < \infty \Big\}, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha_k} := \mathrm{Tr}(|S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}|)\,,$$

with $S^{(k,\alpha)}$ *as in* (2.7).

Now we state the definition of a *mild solution* to the GP hierarchy (1.1) in the space $L^\infty_{t\in[0,T]}\mathfrak{H}^\alpha$.

Definition 2.5. *Let*

$$U^{(k)}(t) := \prod_{\ell=1}^{k} e^{it(\Delta_{x_\ell}-\Delta_{x'_\ell})} \tag{2.13}$$

denote the free k-particle propagator. For $\alpha > 0$, *a* mild solution *to* (1.1) *in the space* $L^\infty_{t\in[0,T]}\mathfrak{H}^\alpha$ *is a sequence of marginal density matrices* $\Gamma = (\gamma^{(k)}(t))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *solving the integral equation*

$$\gamma^{(k)}(t) = U^{(k)}(t)\gamma^{(k)}(0) + i\int_0^t U^{(k)}(t-s)B_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}(s)ds \quad , \quad k\in\mathbb{N}\,, \tag{2.14}$$

satisfying

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha_k} < R^{2k} \tag{2.15}$$

for a finite constant R *independent of* k.

Theorem 2.6. *(Hong-Taliaferro-Xie)* [42]. *Let*

$$s \begin{cases} \geq & \frac{d}{6} \quad \text{if } d = 1,2 \\ > & \frac{d-2}{2} \quad \text{if } d \geq 3\,. \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

If $(\gamma^{(k)}(t))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is a mild solution in* $L^\infty_{t\in[0,T)}\mathfrak{H}^s$ *to the (de)focusing cubic GP hierarchy in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *with initial data* $(\gamma^{(k)}(0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{H}^s$, *which is either admissible, or obtained at each* t *from a weak-* limit, then,* $(\gamma^{(k)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is the unique solution for the given initial data.*

Moreover, if $(\gamma^{(k)}(0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{H}^s$ *satisfies*

$$\gamma^{(k)}(0) = \int d\mu(\phi_0)(|\phi_0\rangle\langle\phi_0|)^{\otimes k} \quad , \quad \forall k\in\mathbb{N}\,, \tag{2.17}$$

where μ *is a Borel probability measure supported either on the unit sphere or on the unit ball in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *and invariant under multiplication of* $\phi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *by complex numbers of modulus one, then*

$$\gamma^{(k)}(t) = \int d\mu(\phi_0)(|\phi(t,x)\rangle\langle\phi(t,x)|)^{\otimes k} \quad , \quad \forall k\in\mathbb{N}\,, \tag{2.18}$$

where for $t\in[0,T)$ $\phi(t,x)$ *is the solution to the cubic (de)focusing NLS with initial data* $\phi_0 := \phi(0,x)$.

We now want to show that if the initial data $\gamma^{(k)}(0)$ is smoother than L^2 then the de Finetti integration takes place on a smoother domain. More precisely we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7. *If* $(\gamma^{(k)}(0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{H}^s$, *satisfies the assumptions of the weak or strong quantum de Finetti theorem, then*

$$\gamma^{(k)}(0) = \int_{C_s} d\mu(\phi_0)(|\phi_0\rangle\langle\phi_0|)^{\otimes k} \quad , \quad \forall k\in\mathbb{N}\,, \tag{2.19}$$

where C_s *is a bounded subset of* $L^2\cap H^s$.

We begin with the following Lemma from [9]:

Lemma 2.8. *Let $s > 0$ and let μ be a Borel probability measure supported either on the unit sphere or on the unit ball in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If*

$$\int d\mu(\phi)\|\phi\|^{2k}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} < M^{2k}, \tag{2.20}$$

for some finite $M > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\mu\left(\{\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} > \lambda\}\right) = 0, \tag{2.21}$$

for all $\lambda > M$.

Proof. By Chebyshev's inequality, for any $\lambda > 0$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\left(\{\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} > \lambda\}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^k}\int d\mu(\phi)\|\phi\|^{2k}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq \frac{M^{2k}}{\lambda^{2k}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

which for $\lambda > M$ goes to zero as $k \to \infty$. □

Now, we will apply this Lemma to prove Proposition 2.7:

Proof of Proposition 2.7. This result again uses an argument analogous to the one presented in [9, Lemma 4.5]. Since $(\gamma^{(k)}(0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{H}^s$, one has that

$$Tr(|S^{(k,s)}[\gamma^{(k)}(0)]|) \lesssim M^{2k}\,, \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\,, \tag{2.23}$$

for some $M > 0$. We note that (2.23) is equivalent to

$$\int d\mu(\phi_0)\|\phi_0\|^{2k}_{H^s} \lesssim M^{2k}, \tag{2.24}$$

thus our assumptions yield (2.20), and by (2.24) and Lemma 2.8, (2.19) follows. □

Remark 2.1. *Often we will suppress the time dependence of the solutions ϕ to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, writing $\phi(t, x) = \phi(x)$, but we will make the dependence explicit where necessary.*

3. The definitions of $\mathbf{W}_n^j$ operators

In analogy to Definition 1.1 where the functions $\{w_n\}_n$ were given recursively, in this section we define the operators $\{\mathbf{W}_n^j\}_n$.

More precisely, in §3.1 we introduce the operators $\{\mathbf{W}_n^j\}_n$ that act on factorized density matrices and show that they are well-defined bounded linear operators. Then in §3.2 we present some functional analytic tools that we shall use in §3.3 to extend the definition of the operators $\{\mathbf{W}_n^j\}_n$ from factorized to arbitrary density matrices. Some crucial properties of operators W_n^j (such as e.g. Proposition 5.1) are a consequence of properties of functions w_n introduced in Definition 1.1. Such functions w_n have been identified only for solutions of the cubic NLS in 1D. Therefore starting from this section we work in 1D only (i.e. from now on $d = 1$).

3.1. **The $\mathbf{W}_n^j$ operators on factorized density matrices.** We start by introducing the operators $\{\mathbf{W}_n^j\}_n$ that act on factorized density matrices. Below we use the function spaces $\mathfrak{h}_m^\alpha$ already defined in (2.6).

Definition 3.1. *For a non-negative integer n and any integer $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ and $\alpha > 0$ we define the operators* [2]

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{n+1}^j : \mathfrak{h}_{n+1}^{n/2+\alpha} \to \mathfrak{h}_1^\alpha$$

on factorized solutions by:

$$\boldsymbol{W}_1^1\left(\phi(x_1)\overline{\phi}(x_1')\right) = \phi(x_1)\overline{\phi}(x_1') \tag{3.1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{n+1}^j\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\right) = -i\partial_{x_j}\,\boldsymbol{W}_n^j \mathrm{Tr}_{j+n}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{j+n}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\right)$$

$$+ \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_{j,j+k}\,\boldsymbol{W}_k^j \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_{n-k}^{j+k}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+n}\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+k-1}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\prod_{l=j+k}^{j+n-1}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\right)\phi(x_{j+n})\overline{\phi}(x_{j+n}'), \tag{3.2}$$

for any $\phi \in H^{n/2+\alpha}$ and such that $\|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$.

We observe that in the sum in (3.2)

$$\mathbf{W}_{n+1}^j\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\right) = -i\partial_{x_j}\,\mathbf{W}_n^j \mathrm{Tr}_{j+n}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{j+n}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\right)$$

$$+ \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_{j,j+k}\,\mathbf{W}_k^j \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n-k}^{j+k}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+n}\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+k-1}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\prod_{l=j+k}^{j+n-1}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\right)\phi(x_{j+n})\overline{\phi}(x_{j+n}'), \tag{3.3}$$

the operator $\mathbf{W}_k^j \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n-k}^{j+k}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+n}$ acts on $\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\right)$ in the following way:

- By recalling the definition of a partial trace and keeping in mind the normalization $\|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$, we note that Tr_{j+n} acts on $\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\right)$ so that we have:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{j+n}\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')\right) = \int dx_{j+n}\,dx_{j+n}'\,\delta(x_{j+n}-x_{j+n}')\prod_{l=j}^{j+n}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell') = \prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell')$$

- $\mathbf{W}_k^j$ acts on k double-factors

$$\prod_{l=j}^{j+k-1}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x_\ell') = \phi(x_j)\overline{\phi}(x_j')\cdot\cdots\cdot\phi(x_{j+k-1})\overline{\phi}(x_{j+k-1}')$$

[2] Here, we abuse notation and denote the space of factorized solutions using the same notation as for general solutions. As we will see, these $\mathbf{W}_n^j$ extend to the whole space, see Proposition 3.10.

- $\mathbf{W}_{n-k}^{j+k}$ acts on the next $n-k$ double-factors

$$\prod_{l=j+k}^{j+n-1} \phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x'_\ell) = \phi(x_{j+k})\overline{\phi}(x'_{j+k}) \cdot \cdots \cdot \phi(x_{j+k+n-k-1})\overline{\phi}(x'_{j+k+n-k-1}).$$

In order to show that the operators W_n^j in Definition 3.1 are well-defined bounded linear operators, we first point out the following boundedness property of the collision operator $B_{i,j}$:

Lemma 3.2. *The collision operator $B_{i,j} : \mathfrak{h}_k^1 \to \mathfrak{h}_{k-1}^0$ is a bounded linear operator on tensorised functions.*

Proof. The linearity of $B_{i,j}$ is immediate from its definition as a convolution operator. First we consider $k = 2$ and we compute

$$B_{i,j} f(x_i)\overline{f}(x'_i)g(x_j)\overline{g}(x'_j) = f(x_i)\overline{f}(x'_i)|g(x_i)|^2.$$

We note that for factorized density matrices $\gamma^{(k)}(\underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \phi(x_i)\overline{\phi}(x'_i)$, one has $\gamma^{(k)}(\underline{x}_k, \underline{x}'_k) \in \mathfrak{h}_k^1$ if and only if each one-particle function $\phi(x) \in H^1$. Now,

$$\|B_{i,j} f(x_i)\overline{f}(x'_i)g(x_j)\overline{g}(x'_j)\|_{\mathfrak{h}_1^0} = \mathrm{Tr}(|B_{i,j} f(x_i)\overline{f}(x'_i)g(x_j)\overline{g}(x'_j)|)$$

and we compute

$$\mathrm{Tr}(|B_{i,j} f(x_i)\overline{f}(x'_i)g(x_j)\overline{g}(x'_j)|) = \int |f(x)|^2|g(x)|^2 \leq \left(\int |f(x)|^4\right)^{1/2}\left(\int |g(x)|^4\right)^{1/2}$$

using Hölder's inequality. So the right-hand side is bounded for $f, g \in H^1$ by one-dimensional Sobolev embedding, and hence we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(|B_{i,j} f(x_i)\overline{f}(x'_i)g(x_j)\overline{g}(x'_j)|) \leq \|f\|_{H^1}^2\|g\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|f \otimes g\|_{\mathfrak{h}_2^1}.$$

For $k > 2$, we may extend the above argument by tensoring the operator $B_{i,j}$ with the identity. □

We are now prepared to justify our definition of the operators W_n^j in Definition 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. The operators W_n^j in Definition 3.1 are well-defined bounded linear operators*

$$W_n^j : \mathfrak{h}_n^{(n-1)/2+\alpha} \to \mathfrak{h}_1^\alpha.$$

Proof. We prove this claim by complete induction. The case $n = 1$ is clear (with $j \leq 2$), and the statement about linearity follows since the composition of linear operators is linear, so we focus on proving the boundedness claim. Suppose that the assumptions hold for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq i+1$. Then

$$W_{n+1}^j = -i\partial_{x_j}\mathbf{W}_n^j \mathrm{Tr}_{j+n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_{j,j+k}\mathbf{W}_k^j \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n-k}^{j+k}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+n}.$$

We index the variables as in (3.3) and consider the terms separately. The first term is a composition of three operators, which we can write as

$$\mathfrak{h}_{n+1}^{n/2+\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_{j+n}} \mathfrak{h}_n^{n/2+\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}_n^j} \mathfrak{h}_1^{1/2+\alpha} \xrightarrow{\partial_{x_j}} \mathfrak{h}_1^\alpha,$$

where the properties of the operator $\mathbf{W}_n^j$ in the middle of this composition come from the inductive hypothesis. We now examine the second term. Here, we break the sum into components and we analyze each one. We first observe that

$$\mathfrak{h}_{n+1}^{n/2+\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_{j+n}} \mathfrak{h}_n^{n/2+\alpha} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_k^{n/2+\alpha} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_{n-k}^{n/2+\alpha}.$$

Next, for $n \geq 2$ and j as above, we have $n-k, k \geq 1$ in the sum, and hence we can decompose these spaces

$$\mathfrak{h}_k^{n/2+\alpha} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_{n-k}^{n/2+\alpha} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_k^{(k-1)/2+(n-k+1)/2+\alpha} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_{n-k}^{(n-k-1)/2+(k+1)/2+\alpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_k^{(k-1)/2+1+\alpha} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_{n-k}^{(n-k-1)/2+1+\alpha},$$

where the inclusion comes from the fact that we are working in inhomogeneous spaces. Next, we write

$$\mathfrak{h}_k^{(k-1)/2+1+\alpha} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_{n-k}^{(n-k-1)/2+1+\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}_k^j \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n-k}^{j+k}} \mathfrak{h}_1^{1+\alpha} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1^{1+\alpha} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_2^{1+\alpha} \xrightarrow{B_{j,j+k}} \mathfrak{h}_1^{\alpha},$$

where in the first step we use the inductive hypothesis, and in the second step we use Lemma 3.2. Thus, every term in the sum maps

$$\mathfrak{h}_{n+1}^{n/2+\alpha} \to \mathfrak{h}_1^{\alpha}$$

and consequently a finite sum of such operators does as well. This proves the inductive step and completes the proof. □

Remark 3.1. *We note that by tensoring with the identity, we may extend the operator $\boldsymbol{W}_n^j$ to tensor products of arbitrary lengths $m \geq n$, that is we define the extension $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}_n^j$ as*

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}_n^j : \mathfrak{h}_m^{(n-1)/2+\alpha} \to \mathfrak{h}_{m-n+1}^{\alpha}, \qquad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}_n^j = \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{\ell \text{ times}} \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_n^j \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{m-n+1-\ell \text{ times}}$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq m-n+1$. In the sequel, we will denote such operators using the same notation $\boldsymbol{W}_n^j$ and the domain of the operator will be made explicit from the context.

Using Definition 3.1 of the operators $\mathbf{W}_n^j$, induction and the previous proposition, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.4. *For the operators $\boldsymbol{W}_n^j$ defined above, one have*

$$\boldsymbol{W}_n^j = (-i\partial_{x_j})^{n-1}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+n-1} + P_n(B_{i_1,j_1}, \ldots, B_{i_n,j_n}, \partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n})\mathrm{Tr}_{j+n-1},$$

where i_1, j_1 are admissible indices... and P_n is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ and

$$P_n(B_{i_1,j_1}, \ldots, B_{i_n,j_n}, \partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}) : \mathfrak{h}_n^{(n-1)/2+\alpha} \to \mathfrak{h}_1^{\alpha}.$$

In particular the highest order derivative term appearing in P_n has at most $n-1$ derivatives.

The next step is to extend our definition of the operators $\mathbf{W}_n^j$ in (3.1) to an admissible sequence of density matrices. We will achieve this via using some functional analytic tools that we gather in the following subsection.

3.2. **Functional Analytic Toolbox.** We record here some basics on Bochner integrals.

In the sequel, let $(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a σ-finite measure space and E a Banach space. For measurable subsets A_n we say f is a μ-simple function if

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_n \chi_{A_n}$$

for $x_n \in E$ and $\mu(A_n) < \infty$.

Definition 3.5. *A function $f : A \to E$ is said to be μ-Bochner measurable if there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of μ-simple functions converging to f -almost everywhere.*

Definition 3.6. *A function $f : A \to E$ is said to be μ-Bochner integrable if there exists a sequence of μ-simple functions $f_n : A \to E$ such that*

(1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = f$ *μ-almost everywhere,*
(2) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_A \|f_n - f\| d\mu = 0$,

where the latter is the Lebesgue integral.

We will rely on the following theorem of Hille, see for instance [41].

Theorem 3.7 (Hille)**.** *Let $f : A \to E$ be μ-Bochner integrable and let T be a closed linear operator with domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$ in E taking values in a Banach space F. Assume that f takes values in $\mathcal{D}(T)$ μ-almost everywhere and that the μ-almost everywhere defined function $Tf : A \to E$ is μ-Bochner integrable. Then $\int_A f d\mu \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and*

$$T \int_A f d\mu = \int_A Tf d\mu.$$

In our case, we take $f(\phi) = (|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes(n+1)}$ which (by construction) takes values in the domain of the operator $\mathbf{W}_n^j$. In verifying the hypotheses of Hille's theorem in our work, the following classical result, due to Bochner, provides a convenient criterion for determining whether a given μ-Bochner measurable function is μ-Bochner integrable.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a σ-finite measure space, E a Banach space and let $f : A \to E$ be μ-Bochner measurable. Then f is μ Bochner integrable if and only if*

$$\int_A d\mu \|f\|_E < \infty, \tag{3.4}$$

in which case

$$\left\| \int_A d\mu f \right\|_E \leq \int_A d\mu \|f\|_E.$$

Proof. First suppose that f is μ-Bochner integrable, then the proof of (3.4) follows from approximating f by μ-simple functions. The converse follows by letting $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of μ simple functions converging to f and defining

$$f_n := \mathbf{1}_{\{\|g_n\| \leq 2\|f\|\}} g_n,$$

and using the dominated convergence theorem for Lebesgue integrals. □

Finally, since we will use this result in the subsequent sections, we record the following functional analytic property of the operators defined in §3, which follows directly from Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.4

Corollary 3.9. *The linear operators $\boldsymbol{W}_n^j : \mathfrak{h}_n^0 \to \mathfrak{h}_1^0$ are closed operators with domain $\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{W}_n^j) = \mathfrak{h}_n^{(n-1)/2} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_n^0$.*

3.3. **Extending the $\mathbf{W}_n^j$ operators.** Now we are ready to extend our definition of the operators $\mathbf{W}_n^j$ in (3.1) to an admissible sequence of density matrices. We state the following proposition in more generality than we will need.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $N \geq j+n-1$. Let $\alpha \geq 0$, and let $(\nu, L^2(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{B})$ be a σ-finite measure space with $\mathcal{B}$ the Borel σ-algebra on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\gamma^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{h}_n^{(n-1)/2}$ be any density matrix given by*

$$\gamma^{(n)}(x,t) = \int d\nu(\phi) \big(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\big)^{\otimes n} \tag{3.5}$$

where ν is a Borel measure with bounded support in $H^{(n-1)/2}(\mathbb{R})$ and such that its support is also contained in the unit ball or sphere in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the operator $\boldsymbol{W}_n^j$ is a bounded linear operator $\boldsymbol{W}_n^j : \mathfrak{h}_n^{(n-1)/2} \to \mathfrak{h}_1^0$ which satisfies

$$\boldsymbol{W}_n^j \gamma^{(n)}(x,t) = \int d\nu(\phi)\, \boldsymbol{W}_n^j (|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes n}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. We will prove this proposition using Hille's Theorem, stated in Theorem 3.7, for the σ-finite measure space $(\nu, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})$, where $\mathcal{S} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the unit ball or sphere.

For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we let

$$f_k : H^s \to \mathfrak{h}_k^s, \qquad \phi \longmapsto \prod_{i=1}^k \phi(x_i)\overline{\phi}(x_i').$$

First we note that f_k is continuous. Indeed, for $\phi, \psi \in H^s$,

$$\Big\| \prod_{i=1}^k \phi(x_i)\overline{\phi}(x_i') - \prod_{i=1}^k \psi(x_i)\overline{\psi}(x_i') \Big\|_{\mathfrak{h}_k^s}$$

$$= \mathrm{Tr} \Big| \prod_{i=1}^k (1-\Delta_{x_i})^{s/2}(\phi(x_i)-\psi(x_i))(1-\Delta_{x_i'})^{s/2}\overline{\phi}(x_i') - (1-\Delta_{x_i'})^{s/2}(\overline{\phi}(x_i') - \overline{\psi}(x_i')(1-\Delta_{x_i})^{s/2}\psi(x_i)) \Big|$$

$$\leq \|\phi-\psi\|_{H^s}^k \Big(\|\phi\|_{H^s} + \|\psi\|_{H^s} \Big)^k.$$

Now fix $k = n$ and $s = (n-1)/2$. We make two observations:

- The function f_n is ν-Bochner measurable, since it is continuous $H^{(n-1)/2} \to \mathfrak{h}_n^{(n-1)/2} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_n^0$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is the Borel σ-algebra on L^2.
- For any probability measure ν on the unit sphere in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with bounded support in $H^{(n-1)/2}$ we have
$$\int d\nu(\phi) \|f_n(\phi)\|_{\mathfrak{h}_n^s} = \int d\nu(\phi) \|\phi\|_{H^{(n-1)/2}}^n \leq C.$$
where we have used Proposition 2.7 in the last inequality.

Consequently, we conclude from Theorem 3.8 that f_n is ν-Bochner integrable.

By Corollary 3.9, we satisfy the closedness hypothesis of Hille's theorem. Furthermore, the function

$$f_n : H^{(n-1)/2} \to \mathfrak{h}_n^{(n-1)/2} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_n^0$$

is continuous, hence takes values in $\mathfrak{h}_{n+j}^0$. By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 5.1, we have the expression

$$\mathbf{W}_n^j f_n(\phi) = w_n(x_j)\overline{\phi(x_j')} \in \mathfrak{h}_1^0$$

and hence by Lemma 1.3 we obtain

$$\int d\nu(\phi)\|w_n(x_j)\overline{\phi(x'_j)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^0_1} \leq C_\nu(n)\int d\nu(\phi)\|\phi\|^2_{H^{(n-1)/2}} \leq C_\nu(n),$$

hence $\mathbf{W}^1_n f_n(\phi)$ is ν-Bochner integrable. Thus by Hille's theorem, stated in Theorem 3.7, we have

$$\int d\nu(\phi) f_n(\phi) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{W}^j_n),$$

and we obtain

$$\mathbf{W}^j_n \int d\nu(\phi) f_n(\phi) = \int d\nu(\phi)\mathbf{W}^j_n f_n(\phi)$$

as desired. □

Remark 3.2. *Note that the only requirement in the previous proposition was that a given density matrix had the expression* (3.5)*. In particular, this proposition will apply to any sequence of density matrices which is admissible, or obtained at each time t from a weak-* limit. In those cases the quantum de Finetti theorem yields a σ-finite measure μ (which plays the role of the measure ν in the previous proposition) such that*

$$\gamma^{(k)} = \int d\mu(\phi)\big(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\big)^{\otimes k}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we have constructed a sequence of operators $\{\boldsymbol{W}^j_n\}$ that, for any such sequence of density matrices, and $k \geq n$ acts by

$$\boldsymbol{W}^j_n\gamma^{(k)} = \int d\mu(\phi)\, \boldsymbol{W}^j_n\big(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\big)^{\otimes k}. \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover, this Proposition, and consequently (3.7)*, will hold at any fixed time for any solution to the GP hierarchy $\{\gamma^{(k)}(t)\}$ which arises from initial data satisfying the hypotheses of the quantum de Finetti theorem.*

4. Statement of the main results

Now we are ready to precisely state the main results of this paper. They are formulated in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 below. In the sequel, analogously to the case of Sobolev space, we define the following metric space

$$\mathfrak{H}^\infty = \bigcap_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{H}^\alpha,$$

where $\mathfrak{H}^\alpha$ was introduced in (2.12).

The crucial property of the operators $\{\mathbf{W}^j_n\}_n$, whose proof we postpone until §5, expresses the link between these operators and the functions $\{w_n\}_n$, and can be written as follows:

$$\mathbf{W}^j_n\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right) = w_n(x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_j), \tag{4.1}$$

for every $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$, $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ and $\phi(t,x)$ a solution to the cubic (de)focusing NLS in a certain sufficiently regular Sobolev space. In particular,

$$\mathbf{W}^1_n\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right) = w_n(x_1)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_1), \tag{4.2}$$

which together with Proposition 1.2 will imply the following result:

Theorem 4.1. *For $\phi(t,x) \in L^\infty_{t\in[0,\infty)}C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ a solution to the cubic (de)focusing NLS, let*

$$\left\{ \prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1} \phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

be a sequence of factorized solutions to the GP hierarchy in $L^\infty_{t\in[0,\infty)}\mathfrak{H}^\infty$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the quantity

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}^1_n \left(\prod_{l=1}^{n} \phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell) \right) \tag{4.3}$$

is conserved in time, that is for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}^1_n \left(\prod_{l=1}^{n} \phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell) \right) = \operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}^1_n \left(\prod_{l=1}^{n} \phi(0,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(0,x'_\ell) \right).$$

We will prove this theorem by explicitly identifying the quantity in (4.3) as the n-th conserved quantity for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, $I_n(\phi)$ in (1.9). We prove these claims, and consequently Theorem 4.1 in Proposition 5.3.

Now we are ready to identify the conserved quantities for solutions to the GP hierarchy arising either from initial data that are admissible or obtained at each time t as a weak-* limit. This is the second main result in this paper.

Theorem 4.2. *If $(\gamma^{(k)}(t))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a mild solution in $L^\infty_{t\in[0,\infty)}\mathfrak{H}^\infty$ to the (de)focusing cubic GP hierarchy in $\mathbb{R}$ which is either admissible, or obtained at each t from a weak-* limit, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le j \le n$ and $k \ge j+n-1$ the quantity*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}^j_n \gamma^{(k)}(t) \tag{4.4}$$

is conserved in time, that is, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}^j_n \gamma^{(k)}(t) = \operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}^j_n \gamma^{(k)}(0).$$

Moreover, given the de Finetti measure μ for the sequence $(\gamma^{(k)}(0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}^j_n \gamma^{(k)}(t) = \int d\mu \, I_n(\phi)$$

where $I_n(\phi)$ is the conservation law for the NLS given by (1.9).

We will prove this theorem in §6.1 below.

Remark 1. *If $\{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is any sufficiently regular admissible sequence of density matrices, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le j \le n$ and any $K \ge k \ge j+n-1$, we have*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}^j_n \gamma^{(k)} = \operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}^j_n \gamma^{(K)},$$

where as usual, we understand the operator $\boldsymbol{W}^j_n$ applied to $\gamma^{(K)}$ as an extension of the usual operator $\boldsymbol{W}^j_n$ by tensoring with the identity.

Remark 4.1. *We view our main result in the spirit of* [9] *which demonstrates that solutions of the GP hierarchy which scatter are suitable averages of the scattering solutions for the NLS. Analogously, Theorem 4.2 shows that conserved quantities for the cubic GP hierarchy can be obtained as an appropriate average of the conserved quantities for the NLS.*

Remark 4.2. *The operator* $\boldsymbol{W}_3^j$ *corresponds to the conserved quantity of Chen, the third author and Tzirakis* [14]*, thus we recover the conserved quantity which corresponds to the Hamiltonian for the NLS. We also note that operators of the form* $\boldsymbol{W}_3^1 \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_3^4 \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_3^7 \otimes \ldots \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_3^{1+k\cdot 3}$ *recover the higher conservation laws of* [12]*. Indeed, one has for instance that*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left((\boldsymbol{W}_3^1 \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_3^4) \prod_{i=1}^{6} \phi(x_i)\phi(x_i') \right) = \Big(E_1(\phi)\Big)^2, \tag{4.5}$$

and the conservation for the equivalent expression for general density matrices follows from the arguments we will use to prove Theorem 4.2. We will return to this point in §6. Finally, we observe that any higher order operator with the form

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{n_1}^1 \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_{n_2}^{1+n_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_{n_3}^{1+n_1+n_3} \otimes \ldots \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_{n_k}^{1+n_1+\ldots+n_{k-1}}$$

will also generate conservation laws for the GP hierarchy, although as in (4.5) *such operators will yield polynomials in the conserved quantities associated to the indices* n_i*. See Proposition 6.2 for more details.*

5. Conservation laws for factorized solutions of the GP hierarchy

In this section we prove Theorem 4.1 which identifies infinitely many conserved quantities for factorized solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy (1.1). In analogy to the functions $\{w_n\}_n$ that were introduced via a solution to the NLS, we recursively introduced the operators $\{\mathbf{W}_n^j\}_n$ that act on factorized solutions to the GP hierarchy. Thanks to the fact that the definition of the operators $\{\mathbf{W}_n^j\}_n$ mimics the recursive relation satisfied by $\{w_n\}_n$, we will be able to relate the operators $\{\mathbf{W}_n^j\}_n$ with $\{w_n\}_n$ via the identity expressed in Proposition 5.1. Then by taking the trace of that identity, we will obtain conserved quantities for factorized solutions to the GP hierarchy. We now present the details.

We start by specifying the crucial link between the operators $\{\mathbf{W}_n^j\}_n$ and the functions $\{w_n\}_n$ from the conserved quantities for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. We establish this link in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose* $\phi(t,x) \in L^\infty_{t\in[0,\infty)} C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *is a solution to the cubic (de)focusing NLS* (1.5)*. Then the operators* $\{\boldsymbol{W}_n^j\}_n$ *satisfy the following identity:*

$$\boldsymbol{W}_n^j \left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1} \phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x_\ell') \right) = w_n(x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x_j'), \tag{5.1}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $1 \le j \le n+1$*.*

Proof. We prove the claim via mathematical induction in n. First, we note that in the case when $n = 0$ the identity (5.1) is satisfied thanks to the definition (3.1) of $\mathbf{W}_1^1$ and the definition (1.6) of w_1, which immediately give that

$$\mathbf{W}_1^1 \Big(\phi(t,x_1)\overline{\phi}(t,x_1') \Big) = \phi(t,x_1)\overline{\phi}(t,x_1') = w_1(x_1)\overline{\phi}(t,x_1'). \tag{5.2}$$

Now suppose that (5.1) is satisfied for all integers n, $1 \le n \le m$ and all integers j such that $1 \le j \le n+1$. Then we prove the identity for $n = m+1$ as follows. Fix an integer $1 \le j \le m+2$. By the definition (3.2) of $\mathbf{W}_{m+1}^j$ and the definition of the partial trace we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}^j_{m+1}\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+m}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right) &= -i\partial_{x_j}\mathbf{W}^j_m \mathrm{Tr}_{j+m}\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+m}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right)\\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} B_{j,j+k}\mathbf{W}^j_k\otimes\mathbf{W}^{j+k}_{m-k}\mathrm{Tr}_{j+m}\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+m}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right)\\ &= -i\partial_{x_j}\mathbf{W}^j_m\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+m-1}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right)\\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} B_{j,j+k}\mathbf{W}^j_k\otimes\mathbf{W}^{j+k}_{m-k}\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+k-1}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\prod_{l=j+k}^{j+m-1}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using the inductive hypothesis, we then obtain

$$-i\partial_{x_j}w_m(x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_j)+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}B_{j,j+k}\left[w_k(x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_j)\ w_{m-k}(x_{j+k})\overline{\phi}(t,x'_{j+k})\right] \tag{5.3}$$

$$=-i\partial_{x_j}w_m(x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_j)+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}w_k(x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_j)\ w_{m-k}(x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x_j) \tag{5.4}$$

$$=\left(-i\partial_{x_j}w_m(x_j)+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}w_k(x_j)w_{m-k}(x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x_j)\right)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_j)$$

$$=w_{m+1}(x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_j), \tag{5.5}$$

where (5.3) follows from the assumption that the identity (5.1) is satisfied for all integers n, $1\le n\le m$, (5.4) follows from the definition of the contraction operator $B_{j,j+k}$ and the last line (5.5) follows from the recursive relation (1.7) satisfied by the w_m. □

Using the previous proposition and Lemma 1.3 we obtain

Corollary 5.2. *For the operators $\{\boldsymbol{W}^j_n\}$ defined above, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{W}^j_n\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right) &= (-i\partial_{x_j})^{n-1}\phi(t,x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_j)\\ &+ p_n(\phi,\overline{\phi},\dots,\partial_{x_j}^{(n-2)/2}\phi,\partial_{x_j}^{(n-2)/2}\overline{\phi})(t,x_j)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_j).\end{aligned}$$

where p_n are as in Lemma 1.3.

Now we are ready to identify the conserved quantities for factorized solution to the GP.

Proposition 5.3. *For $\phi(t,x)\in L^\infty_{t\in[0,\infty)}C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ a solution to the cubic (de)focusing NLS (1.5), and let*

$$\left\{\prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

be a sequence of factorized solutions[3] *to the GP hierarchy in* $L^\infty_{t\in[0,\infty)}\mathfrak{H}^{(n-1)/2}_n$. *Then for each* $n\in\mathbb{N}$, *we have that*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^j\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right)=I_n(\phi), \tag{5.6}$$

where $I_n(\phi)$ *was defined in* (1.9). *In particular, this quantity is conserved in time, that is*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^j\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right)=\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^j\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1}\phi(0,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(0,x'_\ell)\right).$$

Proof. We take the trace of the identity (5.1) and recall the expression (1.9) for conserved quantities for the NLS to obtain:

$$\operatorname{Tr}\mathbf{W}_n^j\left(\prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1}\phi(x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(x'_\ell)\right)=\int\delta(x_j-x'_j)\,w_n(x_j)\overline{\phi}(x'_j)\,dx_j=I_n(\phi).$$

Since the right hand side is constant in time, we conclude that the left hand side is conserved in time too. □

By taking $j=1$ in Proposition 5.3 we obtain the following corollary, that is equivalent to Theorem 4.1 stated in the Introduction.

Corollary 5.4. *For* $\phi(t,x)\in L^\infty_{t\in[0,\infty)}C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ *a solution to the cubic (de)focusing NLS* (1.5), *let*

$$\left\{\prod_{l=j}^{j+n-1}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

be a sequence of factorized solutions to the GP hierarchy in $L^\infty_{t\in[0,\infty)}\mathfrak{H}^{(n-1)/2}_n$. *Then for each* $n\in\mathbb{N}$, *the quantity*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^1\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right) \tag{5.7}$$

is conserved in time, that is

$$\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^1\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n}\phi(t,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(t,x'_\ell)\right)=\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^1\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n}\phi(0,x_\ell)\overline{\phi}(0,x'_\ell)\right).$$

6. Conservation laws for general solutions of the GP hierarchy

In this section we prove Theorem 4.2, which shows that sufficiently smooth mild solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy admit infinitely many conserved quantities.

[3]Modulo renaming the indices.

6.1. **The proof of Theorem 4.2.**

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We apply Theorem 2.6 and we obtain that for any solution of the (de)focusing cubic GP hierarchy in one dimension with initial data $(\gamma^{(k)}(0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{H}^s$ for $s \geq \frac{1}{6}$ which is admissible, or obtained at each t from a weak-* limit, if

$$\gamma^{(k)}(0) = \int d\mu(\phi_0)(|\phi_0\rangle\langle\phi_0|)^{\otimes k} \quad , \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\,, \tag{6.1}$$

where μ is a Borel probability measure supported either on the unit sphere or on the unit ball in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and invariant under multiplication of $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by complex numbers of modulus one, then

$$\gamma^{(k)}(t) = \int d\mu(\phi_0)(|\phi(t,x)\rangle\langle\phi(t,x)|)^{\otimes k} \quad , \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\,, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\phi(t,x)$ is a solution to the cubic (de)focusing NLS (1.5), for $t \in [0,\infty)$. Consequently, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $k \geq j+n-1$ by Proposition 3.10 at each fixed time (and Remark 3.2) we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\mathbf{W}_n^j\gamma^{(k)}(t) = \mathrm{Tr}\int d\mu(\phi_0)\mathbf{W}_n^j(|\phi(t,x)\rangle\langle\phi(t,x)|)^{\otimes k}.$$

Since the trace is a continuous linear operator, we may once again bring it inside the integral using Hille's theorem by observing that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\mathbf{W}_n^j\big(|\phi(t,x)\rangle\langle\phi(t,x)|\big)^{\otimes k} = I_n(\phi(t,x))$$

is a complex-valued function which is Lebesgue integrable over the unit sphere by Lemma 1.3, and hence Bochner integrable with codomain $\mathbb{R}$. We obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr}\int d\mu(\phi_0)\mathbf{W}_n^j(|\phi(t,x)\rangle\langle\phi(t,x)|)^{\otimes k} \tag{6.3}$$

$$= \int d\mu(\phi_0)\mathrm{Tr}\mathbf{W}_n^j(|\phi(t,x)\rangle\langle\phi(t,x)|)^{\otimes k} \tag{6.4}$$

$$= \int d\mu(\phi_0)I_n(\phi(t,x)), \tag{6.5}$$

where in the last equality we have used Proposition 5.3. We conclude that

$$\int d\mu(\phi_0)I_n(\phi(t,x)) = \int d\mu(\phi_0)I_n(\phi(0,x)) = \int d\mu(\phi_0)I_n(\phi_0),$$

since $I_n(\phi(t,x))$ is conserved in time for solutions of the cubic NLS (1.5). Unfolding this definition, we obtain

$$\int d\mu(\phi_0)I_n(\phi) = \mathrm{Tr}\mathbf{W}_n^j\int d\mu(\phi_0)(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes k} = \mathrm{Tr}\mathbf{W}_n^j\gamma^{(k)}(0), \tag{6.6}$$

as required. □

Remark 6.1. *For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $N \geq j+n-1$ the same proof yields that the quantity $\mathrm{Tr}\,\boldsymbol{W}_n^j\gamma^{(N)}$ is conserved and*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\boldsymbol{W}_n^j\gamma^{(N)}(t) = \mathrm{Tr}\,\boldsymbol{W}_n^j\gamma^{(N)}(0)$$

provided $\gamma^{(N)} \in \mathfrak{h}_N^{(n-1)/2}$. Thus, for lower regularity solutions, we similarly obtain conserved quantities for the GP hierarchy provided the density matrices are sufficiently regular so that the quantity is bounded. This recovers the result analogous to the NLS case.

6.2. **Further applications.** In this last subsection, we collect some results which are easy consequences of either Theorem 4.2, or can be proved in a similar manner.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $\gamma^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{h}_n^{(n-1)/2}$. Then the quantity $\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{W}_n^1 \gamma^{(n)}$ has the form*

$$\operatorname{Tr}\big(\partial_{x_1}^{(n-1)} \gamma^{(n-1)}\big) + \operatorname{Tr}\big(P_n(B_{1,2}, \dots, B_{1,n}, \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}) \gamma^{n-1}\big).$$

Proof. We obtain this result from the equality in (3.7) and the decomposition of the $\mathbf{W}_n^j$ in Corollary 3.4, using a similar argument to the one used to prove Theorem 4.2. □

Finally, we return to the statement made in Remark 4.2.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ be any finite sequence of indices with $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\{\gamma^{(N)}\}_N \in \mathfrak{H}^\infty$ be a solution to the GP hierarchy. Then the quantity*

$$\operatorname{Tr}\big(\boldsymbol{W}_{n_1}^1 \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_{n_2}^{1+n_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_{n_3}^{1+n_1+n_3} \otimes \dots \otimes \boldsymbol{W}_{n_k}^{1+n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}} \gamma^{(n_1+\dots+n_k)}(t)\big)$$

is conserved in time.

Proof. We let $m = \max_i (n_i - 1)/2$, and denote the upper indices by $j_1, \dots, j_k$. We note that each $\mathbf{W}_{n_i}^{j_i} : \mathfrak{h}_{n_i}^{(m-1)/2} \to \mathfrak{h}_1^{(m-1)/2-(n_i-1)/2} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_1^0$ is a bounded linear operator. We use the strong quantum de Finetti theorem and Theorem 2.6 to write

$$\gamma^{(n_1+\dots+n_k)}(t) = \int d\mu(\phi) (|\phi(t,x)\rangle\langle\phi(t,x)|)^{\otimes(n_1+\dots+n_k)}.$$

Once again, we let

$$f_k : H^s \to \mathfrak{h}_k^s, \qquad \phi \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^k \phi(x_i)\overline{\phi}(x_i').$$

as above, we have $f_{n_1+\dots+n_k} = f_{n_1} f_{n_2} \dots f_{n_k}$. We can write

$$\gamma^{(n_1+\dots+n_k)}(t) = \int d\mu(\phi) f_{n_1}(\phi(t,x)) f_{n_2}(\phi(t,x)) \dots f_{n_k}(\phi(t,x)).$$

We note that as above,

$$\mathbf{W}_{n_i}^{j_i} f_{n_i}(\phi(t,x)) = w_n(x)\overline{\phi(t,x')} \in \mathfrak{h}_1^0,$$

and $\|w_n(x)\overline{\phi(t,x')}\|_{\mathfrak{h}_1^0}$ is absolutely integrable over the unit sphere in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ since μ has bounded support in H^m, provided $m \geq (n-1)/2$. We now observe that the trace of a tensor product splits into the product of the traces, hence

$$\mathbf{W}_{n_1}^{j_1} f_{n_1}(\phi(t,x_{j_1})) \cdot \mathbf{W}_{n_2}^{j_2} f_{n_2}(\phi(t,x_{j_2})) \cdots \mathbf{W}_{n_k}^{j_k} f_{n_k}(\phi(t,x_{j_k})) \in \mathfrak{h}_k^0$$

and similarly, the product is integrable over the unit sphere in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for measures μ with bounded support in H^m for $m \geq (n-1)/2$, since by the definition of the norm on $\mathfrak{h}_k^0$, we can bound

$$\big\|\mathbf{W}_{n_1}^{j_1} f_{n_1}(\phi(t,x_{j_1})) \cdot \mathbf{W}_{n_2}^{j_2} f_{n_2}(\phi(t,x_{j_2})) \cdots \mathbf{W}_{n_k}^{j_k} f_{n_k}(\phi(t,x_{j_k}))\big\|_{\mathfrak{h}_k^0} \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \big\|\mathbf{W}_{n_1}^{j_1} f_{n_1}(\phi(t,x_{j_1}))\big\|_{H^m}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{W}_{n_1}^{j_1} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n_2}^{j_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n_k}^{j_k} \gamma^{(n_1+\dots+n_k)}(t) \\
&= \int d\mu(\phi) \mathbf{W}_{n_1}^{j_1} f_{n_1}(\phi(t,x_{j_1})) \cdot \mathbf{W}_{n_2}^{j_2} f_{n_2}(\phi(t,x_{j_2})) \cdots \mathbf{W}_{n_k}^{j_k} f_{n_k}(\phi(t,x_{j_k})).
\end{aligned}$$

We now observe that the trace of a tensor product splits into the product of the traces, and using a similar Hille's theorem argument for the trace as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}\mathbf{W}_{n_1}^{j_1} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n_2}^{j_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n_k}^{j_k} \gamma^{(n_1+\ldots+n_k)}(t) \\
&= \int d\mu(\phi_0) \mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathbf{W}_{n_1}^{j_1} f_{n_1}(\phi(t,x))\big) \cdot \mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathbf{W}_{n_2}^{1+n_1} f_{n_2}(\phi(t,x))\big) \cdots \mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathbf{W}_{n_k}^{1+n_1+\ldots+n_{k-1}} f_{n_k}(\phi(t,x))\big) \\
&= \int d\mu(\phi_0) I_{n_1}(\phi_0) I_{n_2}(\phi_0) \cdots I_{n_k}(\phi_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the last step that the $I_{n_k}(\phi(t))$ are conserved for solutions of the cubic NLS. We can observe that the final expression is constant, to conclude that these quantities are conserved. Finally, as above, we obtain that by unfolding this same argument,

$$\int d\mu(\phi_0) I_{n_1}(\phi_0) I_{n_2}(\phi_0) \cdots I_{n_k}(\phi_0) \tag{6.7}$$

$$= \mathrm{Tr}\mathbf{W}_{n_1}^{1} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n_2}^{1+n_1} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n_3}^{1+n_1+n_3} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{W}_{n_k}^{1+n_1+\ldots+n_{k-1}} \gamma^{(n_1+\ldots+n_k)}(0), \tag{6.8}$$

as desired. □

Remark 6.2. *In particular, we recall that Proposition 6.2 recovers the result of* [12]. *Moreover, any finite linear combination of the type of operators defined in Proposition 6.2 will yield conserved quantities for the GP hierarchy.*

References

[1] Ablowitz, M. J., Kaup, D. J., Newell, A. C., and Segur, H., *The inverse scattering transform-Fourier analysis for nonlinear problems*, Studies in Appl. Math. **53** (1974).

[2] Adami, R., Bardos, C., Golse, F., and Teta, A., *Towards a rigorous derivation of the cubic NLSE in dimension one*, Asymptot. Anal. **40** (2004), no. 2, 93–108.

[3] Adami, R., Golse, F., and Teta, A., *Rigorous derivation of the cubic NLS in dimension one*, J. Stat. Phys. **127** (2007), no. 6, 1193–1220.

[4] Ammari, Z. and Nier, F., *Mean field propagation of Wigner measures and BBGKY hierarchies for general bosonic states*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **95** (2011), no. 6, 585–626.

[5] Ammari, Z. and Nier, F., *Mean field limit for bosons and infinite dimensional phase-space analysis*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **9** (2008), no. 8, 1503–1574.

[6] Anapolitanos, I., *Rate of convergence towards the Hartree-von Neumann limit in the mean-field regime*, Lett. Math. Phys. **98** (2011), no. 1, 1–31.

[7] Bardos, C., Golse, F., and Mauser, N. J., *Weak coupling limit of the N-particle Schrödinger equation*, Methods Appl. Anal. **7** (2000), Cathleen Morawetz: a great mathematician.

[8] Chen, T., Hainzl, C., Pavlović, N., and Seiringer, R., *On the well-posedness and scattering for the gross-pitaevskii hierarchy via quantum de finetti*, Lett. Math. Phys. **104** (2014), no. 7, 871–891.

[9] Chen, T., Hainzl, C., Pavlović, N., and Seiringer, R., *Unconditional uniqueness for the cubic Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy via quantum de Finetti*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **68** (2015), no. 10, 1845–1884.

[10] Chen, T. and Pavlović, N., *The quintic NLS as the mean field limit of a boson gas with three-body interactions*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), no. 4, 959–997.

[11] Chen, T. and Pavlović, N., *A new proof of existence of solutions for focusing and defocusing Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), no. 1, 279–293.

[12] Chen, T. and Pavlović, N., *Derivation of the cubic NLS and Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy from manybody dynamics in $d = 3$ based on spacetime norms*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **15** (2014).

[13] Chen, T. and Pavlović, N., *Higher order energy conservation and global well-posedness of solutions for Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchies*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014).

[14] Chen, T., Pavlović, N., and Tzirakis, N., *Energy conservation and blowup of solutions for focusing Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchies*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **27** (2010), no. 5, 1271–1290. MR 2683760

[15] Chen, T. and Taliaferro, K., *Derivation in strong topology and global well-posedness of solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014), no. 9, 1658–1693.
[16] Chen, X., *Second order corrections to mean field evolution for weakly interacting bosons in the case of three-body interactions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **203** (2012), no. 2, 455–497.
[17] Chen, X., *On the rigorous derivation of the 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a quadratic trap*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **210** (2013), no. 2, 365–408.
[18] Chen, X. and Holmer, J., *Focusing quantum many-body dynamics II: the rigorous derivation of the 1D focusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation from 3D* , arXiv:1407.8457.
[19] Chen, X. and Holmer, J., *Focusing quantum many-body dynamics: the rigorous derivation of the 1D focusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **221** (2016), no. 2, 631–676.
[20] Chen, X. and Holmer, J., *On the Klainerman-Machedon conjecture for the quantum BBGKY hierarchy with self-interaction*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **18** (2016), no. 6, 1161–1200.
[21] Chen, X. and Smith, P., *On the unconditional uniqueness of solutions to the infinite radial Chern-Simons-Schrödinger hierarchy*, Anal. PDE **7** (2014), no. 7, 1683–1712.
[22] Erdős, L., Schlein, B., and Yau, H.-T., *Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy for the dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensate*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **59** (2006), no. 12, 1659–1741.
[23] Erdős, L., Schlein, B., and Yau, H.-T., *Derivation of the cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation from quantum dynamics of many-body systems*, Invent. Math. **167** (2007), no. 3, 515–614.
[24] Erdős, L., Schlein, B., and Yau, H.-T., *Rigorous derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with a large interaction potential*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), no. 4, 1099–1156.
[25] Erdős, L., Schlein, B., and Yau, H.-T., *Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensate*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 1, 291–370.
[26] Erdős, L. and Yau, H.-T., *Derivation of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation from a many body Coulomb system*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5** (2001), no. 6, 1169–1205.
[27] Faddeev, L. D. and Takhtajan, L. A., *Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons*, english ed., Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2007, Translated from the 1986 Russian original by Alexey G. Reyman.
[28] Fröhlich, J., Knowles, A., and Pizzo, A., *Atomism and quantization*, J. Phys. A **40** (2007), no. 12, 3033–3045.
[29] Fröhlich, J., Graffi, S., and Schwarz, S., *Mean-field and classical limit of many-body Schrödinger dynamics for bosons*, Comm. Math. Phys. **271** (2007), no. 3, 681–697.
[30] Fröhlich, J., Knowles, A., and Schwarz, S., *On the mean-field limit of bosons with Coulomb two-body interaction*, Comm. Math. Phys. **288** (2009), no. 3, 1023–1059.
[31] Ginibre, J. and Velo, G., *The classical field limit of scattering theory for nonrelativistic many-boson systems. I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **66** (1979), no. 1, 37–76.
[32] Ginibre, J. and Velo, G., *The classical field limit of scattering theory for nonrelativistic many-boson systems. II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), no. 1, 45–68.
[33] Gressman, P., Sohinger, V., and Staffilani, G., *On the uniqueness of solutions to the periodic 3D Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 7, 4705–4764.
[34] Grillakis, M. and Machedon, M., *Pair excitations and the mean field approximation of interacting bosons, I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **324** (2013), no. 2, 601–636.
[35] Grillakis, M., Machedon, M., and Margetis, D., *Second-order corrections to mean field evolution of weakly interacting bosons. II*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 3, 1788–1815. MR 2824569
[36] Grillakis, M. G., Machedon, M., and Margetis, D., *Second-order corrections to mean field evolution of weakly interacting bosons. I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **294** (2010), no. 1, 273–301.
[37] Grillakis, M. G. and Margetis, D., *A priori estimates for many-body Hamiltonian evolution of interacting boson system*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **5** (2008), no. 4, 857–883.
[38] Hepp, K., *The classical limit for quantum mechanical correlation functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **35** (1974), 265–277.
[39] Herr, S. and Sohinger, V., *The Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy on general rectangular tori*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **220** (2016), no. 3, 1119–1158.
[40] Hewitt, E. and Savage, L. J., *Symmetric measures on Cartesian products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1955), 470–501.
[41] Hille, E. and Phillips, R. S., *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1974, Third printing of the revised edition of 1957, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXXI.

[42] Hong, Y., Taliaferro, K., and Xie, Z., *Unconditional uniqueness of the cubic Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy with low regularity*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **47** (2015), no. 5, 3314–3341.
[43] Hong, Y., Taliaferro, K., and Xie, Z., *Uniqueness of solutions to the 3D quintic Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy*, J. Funct. Anal. **270** (2016), no. 1, 34–67.
[44] Hudson, R. L. and Moody, G. R., *Locally normal symmetric states and an analogue of de Finetti's theorem*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **33** (1975/76), no. 4, 343–351.
[45] Kirkpatrick, K., Schlein, B., and Staffilani, G., *Derivation of the two-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation from many body quantum dynamics*, Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), no. 1, 91–130.
[46] Klainerman, S. and Machedon, M., *On the uniqueness of solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **279** (2008), no. 1, 169–185.
[47] Lewin, M., Nam, P. T., and Rougerie, N., *Derivation of nonlinear Gibbs measures from many-body quantum mechanics*, J. Éc. polytech. Math. **2** (2015), 65–115.
[48] Pickl, P., *Derivation of the time dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation without positivity condition on the interaction*, J. Stat. Phys. **140** (2010), no. 1, 76–89.
[49] Pickl, P., *A simple derivation of mean field limits for quantum systems*, Lett. Math. Phys. **97** (2011), no. 2, 151–164.
[50] Rodnianski, I. and Schlein, B., *Quantum fluctuations and rate of convergence towards mean field dynamics*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **291** (2009), no. 1, 31–61 (English).
[51] Schlein, B., *Derivation of effective evolution equations from microscopic quantum dynamics*, Evolution equations, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013, pp. 511–572.
[52] Sohinger, V., *A rigorous derivation of the defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{T}^3$ from the dynamics of many-body quantum systems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **32** (2015), no. 6, 1337–1365.
[53] Spohn, H., *Kinetic equations from Hamiltonian dynamics: Markovian limits*, Rev. Modern Phys. **52** (1980), no. 3, 569–615.
[54] Størmer, E., *Symmetric states of infinite tensor products of C^*-algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **3** (1969), 48–68. MR 0241992
[55] Xie, Z., *Derivation of a Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with a General power-type nonlinerity*, arXiv:1305.7240.
[56] Zaharov, V. E. and Šabat, A. B., *Integration of the nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of the inverse scattering problem. II*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **13** (1979), no. 3, 13–22.
[57] Zakharov, V. E. and Shabat, A. B., *Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media*, Ž. Èksper. Teoret. Fiz. **61** (1971), no. 1, 118–134.

[1] Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637
E-mail address: dana@math.uchicago.edu

[2] Department of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts, 710 N. Pleasant Street, Amherst MA 01003
E-mail address: nahmod@math.umass.edu

[3] Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Stop C1200, Austin, TX 78712
E-mail address: natasa@math.utexas.edu

[4] Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139
E-mail address: gigliola@math.mit.edu